Spectral and Temporal Properties of Pre-Fermi GRBs

Rob Preece UAHuntsville

Early Days

- Observations by two instruments aboard Apollo 16 Service Module suggested a broken power law over 3 decades in energy (Metzger et al. 1974)
- Venera 11 & 12 observed GRBs to have OTTB spectra (with possible line features) (Mazets et al. 1981)
- Insufficient counts at the highest energies limit the ability to fully determine HE behavior



More Early Days

- High energy emission (> 1 MeV) was firmly established by HEAO-1 and the GRS aboard SSM (Matz et al. 1985)
 - Here, the number of GRBs, assuming OTTB or thermal synchrotron spectra, underpredicts the observation
 - A strict power law extrapolation also doesn't fit, at the highest energies, suggesting a break



Band '93

- Unique function that joins two power laws with continuous 1st derivative
- Although it is completely empirical, it can mimic OTTB, OT synchrotron & BB, each in the appropriate limit
- Usually parametrized in terms of the energy at the peak of the PD distribution: *E*_{peak}
 - This definition requires that HE PL index $\beta < -2$

$$f(E) = \begin{cases} A(E/100)^{\alpha} e^{-E(2+\alpha)/E_{\text{peak}}} \\ \text{if } E < \frac{(\alpha - \beta)E_{\text{peak}}}{(2+\alpha)} \equiv E_{\text{break}} , \\ A \Big[\frac{(\alpha - \beta)E_{\text{peak}}}{100(2+\alpha)} \Big]^{(\alpha - \beta)} \exp(\beta - \alpha)(E/100)^{\beta} \\ \text{if } E \ge \frac{(\alpha - \beta)E_{\text{peak}}}{(2+\alpha)} . \end{cases}$$



BATSE: Full Sample

- *E*_{peak} distribution is narrow (FWHM ~ 1 decade in energy)
 - Although a few very hard and very soft bursts exist, the predominant fitted values cluster near 200 keV
 - Hard to soft evolution during a pulse may tend to pick out the median values
 - Inclusion of weaker bursts adds events on the high energy side



Goldstein et al. 2013 - sub.

BATSE Bright Bursts

 If α is a proxy for the low energy PL behavior of synchrotron, the distribution contains many that violate both 'lines of death' (Preece et al. 1998; Cohen et al. 1997)



Kaneko et al. 2005

Fermi Acceleration?

- Relativistic shock simulations tend to show universal PL acceleration for the electrons, ~ -2.4
 - Assuming that the photon spectrum reflects this, we expect HE PL index to be constant at -2.2
 - Typically, the HE PL index changes by –0.6 over the burst duration (Preece et al. 98b)





Gamma-Ray Extended Emission

- EGRET Observation of 940217 (Hurley et al. 1994, Nature)
 - Persistent hard emission lasted nearly 92 minutes after the BATSE emission ended
 - A single 18 GeV photon is observed at ~T+80 min: hardest confirmed event from any GRB at the time



X-ray Rich Bursts

- Kippen et al., 2001: Compared 9 BeppoSAX WFC Fast X-Ray Transients with 1023 long BATSE GRBs
 - In terms of spectral properties, these events compare quite favorably with the main population
 - Here, we see the fitted E_{peak} vs. the 1 s peak flux
 - Dashed lines represent the spread in the mean of the correlation



X-Ray Excess

- Preece, et al. 1996 (c.f. Tierney et al. 2013)
 - Low-energy (7 25 keV) DISCSP data is compared with extrapolation of a Band fit. All data are included in the fit.
 - 15% out of 151 bright GRBs show an excess > 5 σ
 - 2 bursts show deficit > 5 σ
 - GRB990123: SD #0 shows a 14.5 σ excess; SD #1 is ~ 5 σ



Transition to Afterglows:

- Giblin (1999) and Connaughton (2002) reported smooth transitions to afterglow in gamma-ray energy band:
 - Two different types of spectral behavior:
 - Prompt: $\Delta s \neq 1/2$
 - Afterglow: $\Delta s \sim 1/2$
 - Gamma-ray Afterglow spectral index behaves like 1st order Fermi PIC value:



Giblin et al., ApJ 1999

• B = -2.4

Swift Afterglows:

- Here, I try and summarize 100+ months of Swift GRB results!
- Schematic is in log time; dotted elements are optional:
 - 0: Prompt emission (BAT)
 - I: Rapid decline (BAT XRT)
 - II: Plateau (XRT: unknown, pre-Swift)
 - III: 'Traditional' AG
 - IV: After the 'Jet Break'
 - V: X-Ray Flares
- Sometimes: SN lightcurve on top!



Conclusions:

- Pre-Fermi, the Band GRB function does an adequate job of spectral fitting; however, there are hints of problems:
 - Synchrotron lines of death
 - X-Ray excesses
 - Extremely hard spectra
 - Extended gamma-ray tails
 - Empirical spectral function instead of physical
- One *can* reference 15 different instruments in 12 viewgraphs!