Ultraviolet Observations of Star-planet Interactions – Current Status and Future Directions



# Kevin France

University of Colorado

Radio Habitability – Palm Springs May 10<sup>th</sup> 2017



# Star-Planet Interactions: the effects are usually larger on the planets!

#### •Overview of this talk:

Influences on the planets (thermal, ionization, photochemistry)
Influences on the stars (magnetic, tidal)

•Interactions between stellar wind/corona and the planetary magnetic field

#### •<u>Observations</u>:

•UV transit spectroscopy•UV stellar emission lines

#### Future: •Exoplanet aurorae •Atmospheric loss in IR observations



### **FUV Transit Spectroscopy of Short-period Planets**

#### EUV heating driving mass-loss from short-period planets

•Extended hydrogen cloud indicates Roche lobe overfill and hydrodynamic atmospheric escape



Hydrogen detected in the upper atmosphere of HD209458b (Vidal-Madjar 2003)

> Transit depth ~ 10% ~3 - 5 × planet's geometric occultation

(Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003, 2004; Linsky et al. 2010; Lecavelier des Etanges et al. 2012; Kulow et al. 2014; Ehrenreich et al. 2015)

# FUV Transit Spectroscopy of Short-period Planets •EUV heating driving mass-loss from short-period planets •Followed by detections of O, C, Mg, and (maybe) Si atoms/ions in the outflow





(Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003, 2004; Linsky et al. 2010; Fossati et al. 2010; Lecavelier des Etanges et al. 2012; Kulow et al. 2014; Ehrenreich et al. 2015)

Metals "dragged out", entrained in the H and He flow

### FUV Transit Spectroscopy of Short-period Planets •<u>EUV heating driving mass-loss from short-period planets</u> •Most spectacular example has been on the shortperiod Neptune-mass planet GJ 436b



Hydrogen detected in the upper atmosphere of GJ436b (Kulow et al. 2014; Ehrenreich et al. 2015; Bourrier et al. 2016)

#### Transit depth ~ 50% (!)

(but no metal outflow - Loyd et al. 2017)



#### Slide credits Joe Llama – Lowell Obs

### **NUV Transit Spectra of WASP-12b: Early Ingress**



### **NUV Transit Spectra of WASP-12b: Early Ingress**



Fossati et al. (2010); Vidotto et al. (2010)



Interaction between stellar wind and planetary magnetic field may cause compression. (Vidotto et al. 2010, 2011) Interaction strength depends on relative velocity and coronal/wind density and temperature

### NUV Transit Spectra of WASP-12b: Early Ingress



### **NUV Transit Spectra of WASP-12b: Early Ingress**

#### • Llama et al. (2011):

- Potential detection of a magnetic field around WASP-12b.
- Magnetosphere protects the atmosphere to ~5 Rp.
- Bp ~ 24 Gauss







#### Not the only interpretation:

- Hydrodynamic mass-loss may support an upstream shock (Lai et al. 2010)
- Accretion stream onto the star ahead of the motion (Bisikalo et al. 2013)
- Plasma torus from satellites (Ben-Jaffel & Ballester 2014; Kislyakova et al. 2016)
- CLOUDY modeling finds compressed stellar winds produce insufficient optical depth, arguing for the planetary mass-loss explanation (Turner et al. 2016)

#### Magnetospheric accretion from HD 189733b?

•HST-COS FUV chromospheric and transition region lines on HD189733 •Phase-dependent variability



Pillitteri et al. (2015)

#### Magnetospheric accretion from HD 189733b?

HST-COS FUV chromospheric and TR lines
Phase-dependent variability
Temperature variation in outbursts



ratio Line N 0.50 0.54 0.58 0.62 Phase  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ (104 8 0.500.540.580.62Phase

si III/ si IV

Pillitteri et al. (2015)

#### Magnetospheric accretion from HD 189733b?

Phase-dependent variability
Shocked planetary material accreted into stellar atmosphere ahead of orbital motion

Similar flare levels seen at other phases



Line ratio

si III/ si IV



Pillitteri et al. (2015), Haswell et al. (2012)

### MUSCLES: LOW-MASS EXOPLANET HOST STAR SURVEY

HTTPS://ARCHIVE.STSCI.EDU/PREPDS/MUSCLES/

#### X-RAY (5 Å) $\rightarrow$ IR (5 MICRON) STELLAR IRRADIANCES

Loyd et al. (ApJ – 2016)





Chandra/XMM

France et al. (ApJ-2016): overview Loyd et al. (ApJ-2016): catalog Youngblood et al. (ApJ-2016): Lyα and EUV

#### Hubble

Loyd et al. (ApJ-2017): flares and photochemistry Youngblood et al. (ApJ-2017): UV-to-optical & CMEs



**Allison Youngblood** 



Parke Loyd

Measurements of the Ultraviolet Spectral Characteristics of Low-mass Exoplanetary Systems



•Enhanced activity with "star-planet interaction strength"

 $L(line)/L_{bol} vs. M_{plan}/a_{plan}$ 

in transition region lines

France et al. (ApJ-2016)

France et al. (ApJ-2016)



France et al. (ApJ-2016)

•Enhanced activity with "star-planet interaction strength"

 $L(line)/L_{bol} vs. M_{plan}/a_{plan}$ 

- Strong correlations only present in higher ions (T<sub>form</sub> > 30,000 K)
- Suggests energy deposition occurs in transition region or coronal plasma
- More T<sub>eff</sub> and planetary architectures needed!

### **Future Directions for UV SPI studies**

- Expanding current HST surveys
- Stellar UV observations in coordination with JWST or 30m telescope spectroscopy of M dwarf rocky planets
  - Exoplanetary aurorae
  - Dedicated small space missions

### THE FUTURE: EXPANDED HST SURVEYS



•Cycle 24 SNAP program of 80 G and K stars with known planetary system

•Hubble archive control sample

Extend in M/a and  $T_{eff}$  space, Compare with non-planet hosts





HD-209458 8×10<sup>16</sup> 6×10<sup>16</sup> 4×10<sup>16</sup> 4×10<sup>16</sup> 2×10<sup>16</sup> 1236 1238 1240 1242 1244 Weweiength (Å)

Nicole Arulanantham

# Impacts on rocky planets, coordinating with IR transit spectroscopy

# Impacts on rocky planets, coordinating with vis/IR atmospheric spectroscopy



not to scale

# Impacts on rocky planets, coordinating with vis/IR atmospheric spectroscopy

**Question A**: Are the atmospheres of terrestrial planets around M dwarfs stable?

**Question B**: How do impulsive events (flares and energetic proton events) impact the composition of a planet's atmosphere?

**G** - star

~1 AU

~0.15 AU

M - star Are these worlds habitable?

States and

not to scale

#### "ACTIVE" VS "INACTIVE" M DWARFS AND ATMOSPHERIC RETENTION



F(EUV) from inactive M dwarfs 5 – 10 x larger than from the quiet Sun

M dwarf EUV: Allison Youngblood - CU

### "ACTIVE" VS "INACTIVE" M DWARFS AND ATMOSPHERIC RETENTION



F(EUV) on an active M dwarf ~ 20 – 60x higher than on an inactive M dwarf

M dwarf EUV: Allison Youngblood - CU

## **Example: Water on Proxima Cen b**



**Question B**: How do impulsive events (flares and energetic proton events) impact the composition of a planet's atmosphere?



France et al. (ApJ-2016)

### Estimating proton fluxes from UV flare data



- Combining solar flare and CME catalogs from GOES and SDO-EVE over a 4 year period, we developed flare-to-CME relationships
- He II has comparable formation temperature to many FUV lines in the MUSCLES sample

(w/Fontenla et al. 2016 semi-empirical M dwarf atmosphere models)

Youngblood, France et al. (ApJ - 2017)

#### IMPULSIVE EVENTS: FLARES AND ENERGETIC PARTICLE EVENTS



France et al. (ApJ-2016) Loyd et al. (ApJ-2017 in prep)

### IMPULSIVE EVENTS: FLARES AND ENERGETIC PARTICLE EVENTS

#### **Atmospheric Erosion:**

- Conservative flare rate (T<sub>dep</sub>(O<sub>3</sub>) = 318 kyr)
- GJ 876 flare rate (T<sub>dep</sub>(O<sub>3</sub>) = 160 yr)
- JWST or TMT observations may find a lack of ozone: lack of life or stellar influence?

see also Airapetian et al. (2016): strong flares generating greenhouse gases and prebiotic chemistry on early Earth



Youngblood, France et al. (ApJ - 2017)

## UV emission from exo-aurorae



## UV emission from exo-aurorae



Gustin et al. (2004) Icarus, 171, 336

# UV emission from aurorae/dayglow

20 Jupiter - FUSE LiF 2a  $HD209458 Model, T(H_2) = 1000K$  0 UV Fluor 0 UV Fluor 0 UV Fluor

Diagnostic power of auroral H<sub>2</sub> emission:
 1) column density and kinetic (rotational) temperature of atmospheric emission layer
 2) precipitating electron energy distribution
 3) hydrocarbon (mostly methane) column density above the emitting layer

COLORADO ULTRAVIOLET **TRANSIT** EXPERIMENT

COLORADO ULTRAVIOLET TRANSIT EXPERIMENT Survey of ~15 shortperiod transiting planets around nearby stars:

- 1) Atmospheric massloss
- 2) Exoplanet Magnetic Fields?

<u>CUTE Scientists</u> (??) PI – K. France PS – B. Fleming PE – R. Kohnert (U Colorado)

External Science Team: L. Fossati (U Graz) A. Vidotto (Trinity/Dublin) T. Koskinen (U of A/LPL) J-M. Desert (U Amsterdam) P. Petit (IRAP/Toulouse)

<u>C</u>olorado <u>U</u>ltraviolet <u>T</u>ransit <u>Experiment</u> Survey of ~15 shortperiod transiting planets around nearby stars: 1) Atmospheric massloss & Variability

NUV Transit Spectrophotometry



<u>C</u>olorado <u>U</u>ltraviolet <u>T</u>ransit <u>Experiment</u> Survey of ~15 shortperiod transiting planets around nearby stars: 1) Atmospheric massloss 2) Exoplanet Magnetic

2) Exoplanet Magnetic Fields?



Light curve asymmetry to distinguish between magnetic and mass-loss supported bow shocks





<u>C</u>olorado <u>U</u>ltraviolet <u>T</u>ransit <u>Experiment</u>



PI

<u>C</u>olorado <u>U</u>ltraviolet <u>T</u>ransit <u>Experiment</u>







#### Ultraviolet Observations of Star-planet Interactions – Current Status and Future Directions

- Kevin France, University of Colorado

 High EUV irradiance can drive high mass-loss rates. Metals can be "dragged" along by high H and He outflow rates. 5 – 50% transit depths and large asymmetries can result. [FUV chromospheric lines]

2) Magnetically supported bow-shock, hydrodynamic mass-loss, and/or accretion of atmospheric material can cause early ingress asymmetries [NUV absorption lines]

3) Enhanced magnetospheric activity observed with orbital phase or the proximity of massive planet [FUV transition region lines]

4) Future: Larger samples of FUV emission line measurements, coordinating UV stellar observations with vis/IR planetary spectroscopy, exoplanetary aurorae, and spectroscopic monitoring of short-period transiting planets

